arXiv:math/0312289v3 [math.QA] 22 Dec 2004

A GLOBAL QUANTUM DUALITY PRINCIPLE FOR SUBGROUPS AND HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

Nicola Ciccoli[†], Fabio Gavarini[‡]

[†]Università di Perugia — Dipartimento di Matematica
Via Vanvitelli 1, I-06123 Perugia — ITALY

[‡]Università di Roma "Tor Vergata" — Dipartimento di Matematica
Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, I-00133 Roma — ITALY

Abstract. We develop a quantum duality principle for subgroups of a Poisson group and its dual, in two formulations: namely, in the first one we provide functorial recipes to produce quantum coisotropic subgroups in the dual Poisson group out of *any* quantum subgroup (in a tautological sense) of the initial Poisson group, while in the second one similar recipes are given only starting from coisotropic subgroups. In both cases this yields a Galois-type correspondence, where a quantum coisotropic subgroup is mapped to its complementary dual; moreover, in the first formulation quantum coisotropic subgroups are characterized as being the fixed points in this Galois' reciprocity. By the natural link between quantum subgroups and quantum homogeneous spaces then we argue a quantum duality principle for homogeneous spaces too, where quantum coisotropic spaces are the fixed elements in a Galois' reciprocity.

As an application, we provide an explicit quantization of the homogeneous $SL_n(\mathbb{C})^*$–space of Stokes matrices, with the Poisson structure given by Dubrovin and Ugaglia.

The **paper** is actually **under revision** to fix some minor, technical issues.

The geometric objects considered here (Poisson groups, subgroups and homogeneous spaces) are "global" — as opposed to "formal" — and quantizations are considered as standard — i.e. non topological — Hopf algebras over the ring of Laurent polynomials (or other non-topological rings). Instead, a "local/formal" version of this work is developed in `math.QA/0412465` — which is in *final* form — due to appear in *Advances in Mathematics*. The example of Stokes matrices mentioned above is considered in `math.QA/0412465` as well.

Introduction

The natural semiclassical counterpart of the study of quantum groups is the theory of Poisson groups: indeed, Drinfeld himself introduced Poisson groups as the semiclassical limits of quantum groups. Therefore, it should be no surprise to anyone, anymore, that

---

Keywords: *Quantum Groups, Poisson Homogeneous Spaces, Coisotropic Subgroups.*
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 17B37, 20G42, 58B32; Secondary 81R50.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

the geometry of quantum groups gain in clarity and comprehension when its connection with Poisson geometry is more transparent. The same can be observed when referring to homogeneous spaces, although some care has to be taken.

In fact, in the study of Poisson homogeneous spaces, a special rôle is played by *Poisson quotients*. These are those Poisson homogeneous spaces whose symplectic foliation has at least one zero-dimensional leaf, so they can be thought of as pointed Poisson homogeneous spaces, just like Poisson groups themselves are pointed by the identity element. When looking at quantizations of a Poisson homogeneous space, one finds that this is possible (in a standard way, say) only if the space itself is a quotient: thus the notion of Poisson quotient shows up naturally also from the point of view of quantization.

The notion of Poisson quotient is well-related with the natural relationship between Poisson homogeneous G–spaces (G a Poisson group) and subgroups of G, so one can equivalently study *coisotropic* subgroups of G; the quantization process for a Poisson G–quotient then corresponds to a like procedure for the attached coisotropic subgroup of G. Also, when following an infinitesimal approach one deals with Lie subalgebras of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G, and the coisotropy condition has its natural counterpart in this Lie algebra setting; the quantization process then is to be carried on for the Lie subalgebra corresponding to the initial homogeneous G–space.

When it's question of quantizing Poisson groups (or Lie bialgebras), a key tool is the quantum duality principle. Loosely speaking this guarantees that any quantized enveloping algebra can be seen (up to scale, roughly) as the quantum function algebra of the dual Poisson group; viceversa any quantum function algebra is (up to scale) a quantization of the enveloping algebra of the dual Lie bialgebra. More precisely, let $\mathcal{HA}$ be the category of torsion-free Hopf algebras over $\mathbb{C}\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$, and let $\mathcal{QUEA}$ and $\mathcal{QFA}$ be respectively the full subcategory of all objects whose specialization at $q=1$ is the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of a Lie bialgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (which are called *quantized* or *quantum universal enveloping algebras*, in short QUEA) or the function algebra $F[G]$ of a connected Poisson group G (called *quantized* or *quantum function algebras*, in short QFA) respectively. After its "global" formulation in math.QA/0303019, the quantum duality principle establishes a Galois' correspondence from $\mathcal{HA}$ to itself, via the definition of two endofunctors, $(\ )^\vee$ and $(\ )'$, of $\mathcal{HA}$ such that:

(a) the images of $(\ )^\vee$ and of $(\ )'$ are $\mathcal{QUEA}$ and $\mathcal{QFA}$ respectively;

(b) the restrictions $(\ )^\vee\big|_{\mathcal{QFA}}$ and $(\ )'\big|_{\mathcal{QUEA}}$ yield equivalences inverse to each other;

(c) starting from a QFA over a Poisson group (resp. from a QUEA over a Lie bialgebra) the functor $(\ )^\vee$ (resp. $(\ )'$) gives a QUEA (resp. a QFA) over the dual Lie bialgebra (resp. the dual Poisson group).

Thus *(a)* provides a machine to produce quantum groups of both types (QFAs or QUEAs), *(b)* characterizes them among objects of $\mathcal{HA}$, and *(c)* links this correspondence to Hopf and Poisson duality.

In this paper we establish a similar quantum duality principle for closed subgroups of a Poisson group G, or equivalently for homogeneous G–spaces, in two formulations.

In the first, we consider a naïve notion of *quantum subgroups* (the Type I ones) and provide a Galois Correspondence Theorem for them, giving functorial recipes which establish Galois maps among Type I quantum subgroups of G and G^* (the dual Poisson group): the special sub-posets of Type I quantum *coisotropic* subgroups are then characterized as the images of these Galois maps. In fact, these coisotropic objects are the *closed* elements in this correspondence, which then by restriction provides a *Galois reciprocity* among them. In particular, this yields a *quantum characterization* of coisotropic subgroups, as they are exactly those closed subgroups whose Type I quantizations are fixed by the composition of a suitable couple of Galois maps. Moreover, starting from a subgroup K of G with tangent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$, taking any Type I quantization of it and then applying the suitable Galois map, the quantum coisotropic subgroup one gets has as semiclassical limit the minimal subgroup $K^{\langle\perp\rangle}$ of G^* generated by $\exp\big(\mathfrak{k}^\perp\big)$, which has a neat geometrical meaning; in particular when K itself is coisotropic $K^{\langle\perp\rangle}$ is the so-called *complementary dual* of K. So again the quantum setting confirms that coisotropic subgroups form a special subfamily.

The second formulation instead is much in the spirit of the (more or less) standard notion of quantum subgroup (which we refer to as "Type II"); yet the notion itself implies that the semiclassical limit subgroup is automatically *coisotropic*, hence we restrict to the latter ones and drop all the rest. The corresponding version of quantum duality principle is somewhat simpler: it provides a *Galois Correspondence Theorem for Type II quantum subgroups*, for it sets Galois reciprocities among posets of Type II quantum subgroups.

Finally, let us remark that these results hold both in the complex and real case.

As an example, in the last section we show how we can use the global quantum duality principle to derive new quantizations from known ones. The example is given by the Poisson structure introduced on the space of Stokes matrices by B. Dubrovin [*Geometry of 2d topological field theories*, Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993), 120–348, Lecture Notes in Math. **1620**, Springer, Berlin, 1996] and M. Ugaglia [*On a Poisson structure on the space of Stokes matrices*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **9** (1999), 473–493] in the framework of moduli spaces of semisimple Frobenius manifolds. It was later, independently, discovered by P. Boalch [*Stokes matrices, Poisson-Lie groups and Frobenius manifolds*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), 479–506] and P. Xu [*Dirac submanifolds and Poisson involutions*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **36** (2003), 403–430] how such structure may interpreted in the context of Poisson-Lie groups: it is nothing but a quotient Poisson structure of the dual Poisson-Lie group $SL_n(\mathbb{C})^*$ of the standard $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. The Poisson space of Stokes matrices $SL_n(\mathbb{C})^* \big/ H^\perp$ is the dual Poisson space to the Poisson space $SL_n(\mathbb{C}) \big/ SO_n(\mathbb{C})$. It has to be noted that the embedding of $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$ in $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is known to be coisotropic but not Poisson. Starting, then, from results obtained by M. Noumi [*Macdonald's Symmetric Polynomials as Zonal Spherical Functions on Some Quantum Homogeneous Spaces*, Adv. Math. **123** (1996), 16–77] related to a quantum version of the embedding $SO_n(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ we are able to interpretate them as an explicit quantization of the Dubrovin-Ugaglia structure. We provide explicit computations for $n = 3$.

Nicola Ciccoli
Università degli Studi di Perugia — Dipartimento di Matematica
Via Vanvitelli 1 , I-06123 Perugia — ITALY , e-mail: ciccoli@dipmat.unipg.it

Fabio Gavarini
Università degli Studi di Roma "Tor Vergata" — Dipartimento di Matematica
Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, I-00133 Roma — ITALY, e-mail: gavarini@mat.uniroma2.it